

Remarks

In response to the rejection of claims 1 – 3, 7 – 17 and 28 – 29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 10 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,875,711. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer obviating that rejection. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully ask that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

In response to the rejection of claims 1 – 3, 7 – 17 and 28 – 29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1 – 22 of copending Application No. 10/888245 in view of US Patent 6875711, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer obviating that rejection. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully ask that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

In response to the rejection of claims 1 – 3, 7 – 17 and 28 – 29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1 – 17 of copending Application No. 10/871,786. Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer obviating that rejection. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully ask that the Examiner withdraw this rejection.

10/666,090

02074/02091

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 – 3, 7 – 17 and 28 – 29 are in condition for allowance and respectfully ask that the Examiner pass the claims to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

EMCH, SCHAFFER, SCHAUB
& PORCELLO CO., L.P.A.



Patrick P. Pacella
Reg. No. 25,463

P.O. Box 916
Toledo, Ohio 43697
Ph: (419) 243-1294
Fax (419) 243-8502
PPP/kab